



WILD FELID LEGACY SCHOLARSHIP APPLICANT RATING FORM

(example)

Scholarship Committee: Marcella Kelly, Ivonne Cassaigne, Anthony Giordano, Mauro Lucherini, Toni Ruth

Each committee member evaluates each applicant. Committee members serving as an applicant's advisor are recused from ranking that particular applicant.

Evaluator Name: _____

Rate from 1 – 4 how applicant meets each criteria (definitions at end of table) & record in the weight column. Multiply the weight value by the maximum points to derive the score for each criteria. [e.g., 5 pts x 3.5 weight (good) = 17.5 score]

Criteria	Maximum Points	Applicant 1 Name		Applicant 2 Name	
		weight	score	Weight	score
Letter of Reference - supervisor	5	4	20	0	0
Letter of Reference - professor	5	4	20	4	20
Interest in wild felid research	15	3	45	4	60
Career goals	10	3.5	35	3	30
Furthering professional development	10	3	30	3	30
Financial need	15	3	45	4	60
Undergraduate and Graduate Transcripts	5	4	20	4	20
Resume (clarity, applicability, experience)	15	3	45	3	45
Research topic: innovativeness, importance, applicability	20	3	60	4	80
Total Score (MAX = 400)	100	/	320	/	345

Weights: 1-1.5=poor; 2-2.5=fair; 3-3.5=good; 4=excellent.